UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO		
10/798,669	03/11/2004	David J. Wendell	47171-00426USP1	6277	
	7590 01/07/200 LISON CORP.	EXAMINER			
C/O NIXON PE	EABODY LLP	MCCULLOUGH, MICHAEL C			
CHICAGO, IL	ST., 48TH FLOOR 60601		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3653		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/07/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Applicat	tion No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/798,6	669	WENDELL ET AL.		
		Examine	er	Art Unit		
			L C. MCCULLOUGH	3653		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun r Reply	ication appears on ti	he cover sheet with the o	correspondence ad	dress	
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M Isions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comn period for reply is specified above, the maximum st- re to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE OF T of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no elunication. atutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the approximation.	THIS COMMUNICATION EVENT, however, may a reply be tilt will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from Explication to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this co ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file This action is FINAL . Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the practi	2b)☐ This action is for allowance excep	 non-final. ot for formal matters, pro		e merits is	
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5) □ 6) ☑ 7) □ 8) □	Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict	re withdrawn from c				
	on Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any objected to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to the specific process.	a) ☐ accepted or bection to the drawing(s) the correction is requ	be held in abeyance. Se ired if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CF	, ,	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notic 3) Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Fination Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	TO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

DETAILED ACTION

The amendment filed 14 October 2008 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

1. Claims 1, 2, 5, 8-14, and 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hossfield et al. (US 5,684,597) in view of Rasmussen et al. (5,277,651). Hossfield et al. discloses a coin processing system comprising a coin path (18), a rotatable disc (22), a memory storing master denominating characteristic information (see column 6 lines 51-57) for a plurality of particular coin sizes, a stationary sorting head (24a,b) forming a coin path, an exit region (64), a light source (48a-d), a light detector (50a-d) that is a photo detector, a controller (54) adapted to compare signals and compare information with the stored master denominating characteristic information (see column 6 lines 48-56) and determine when there is a favorable determination (see column 6 liens 56-58), generating a first signal event corresponding to the interruption of the light beam (see column 4 lines 38-40), generating a second signal event corresponding to when light is incident (see column 4 lines 55-57). Hossfield et al. does not disclose an encoder that produces an encoder pulse for each incremental movement of the rotatable disc and a diverter with positions to plural exit paths. However, Rasmussen et al. discloses a similar device that includes an encoder (see column 12 lines 16-24) and a diverter with positions to plural exit paths (see column 9 lines 11-25) for the purpose of precisely monitoring the angular movement of

Application/Control Number: 10/798,669

Art Unit: 3653

the rotatable disc (see column 6 lines 16-24) and diverting coins to appropriate paths (see column 9 lines 16-25). It would have been obvious for a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to modify Hossfield et al. by utilizing an encoder, a diverter, and exit paths, as disclosed by Rasmussen et al., for the purpose of precisely monitoring the angular movement of the rotatable disc and diverting coins to appropriate paths.

Page 3

- 2. Claims 3, 4, 6, 7, 15, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hossfield et al. (US 5,684,597) in view of Rasmussen et al. (5,277,651) as applied to claims 1, 2, 5, 8-14, 16-20, and 22-27 above, and further in view of Panzeri et al. (US 6,142,285). Hossfield et al. in view of Rasmussen et al. discloses all of the limitations of the claims but does not disclose a laser diode and at least one light guide along the coin path. However, Panzeri et al. discloses a similar device that includes a laser diode (11) and at least one light guide (12) along the coin path for the purpose of producing a laser beam (see column 6 line 56) and increasing the size of the laser beam to impinge upon larger portions of a coin (see column 6 lines 60-63). It would have been obvious for a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to modify Hossfield et al. in view of Rasmussen et al. by utilizing a laser diode and at least one light guide, as disclosed by Panzeri et al., for the purpose of producing a laser beam and increasing the size of the laser beam to impinge upon larger portions of a coin.
- 3. Claims 22-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hossfield et al. (US 5,684,597) in view of Rasmussen et al. (5,277,651). Hossfield et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/798,669

Art Unit: 3653

discloses a coin processing system comprising a coin path (18), a rotatable disc (22), a memory storing master denominating characteristic information (see column 6 lines 51-57) for a plurality of particular coin sizes, a stationary sorting head (24a,b) forming a coin path, an exit region (64), a light source (48a-d), a light detector (50a-d) that is a photo detector, a controller (54) adapted to compare signals and compare information with the stored master denominating characteristic information (see column 6 lines 48-56) and determine when there is a favorable determination (see column 6 liens 56-58), generating a first signal event corresponding to the interruption of the light beam (see column 4 lines 38-40), generating a second signal event corresponding to when light is incident (see column 4 lines 55-57). Hossfield et al. does not disclose moving a plurality of coins along the coin path, an encoder that produces an encoder pulse for each incremental movement of the rotatable disc and a diverter with positions to plural exit paths. However, Rasmussen et al. discloses a similar device that includes moving a plurality of coins along a coin path (see Abstract), an encoder (see column 12 lines 16-24) and a diverter with positions to plural exit paths (see column 9 lines 11-25) for the purposes of sorting coins (see Abstract), precisely monitoring the angular movement of the rotatable disc (see column 6 lines 16-24) and diverting coins to appropriate paths (see column 9 lines 16-25). It would have been obvious for a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to modify Hossfield et al. by utilizing moving a plurality of coins along a coin path, an encoder, a diverter, and exit paths, as disclosed by Rasmussen et al., for the purposes of sorting coins, precisely monitoring the angular movement of the rotatable disc and diverting coins to appropriate paths.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/798,669 Page 5

Art Unit: 3653

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 14 October 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

- 4. Applicant argues the Hossfield teaches away from using an encoder because Hossfield relies on a motor that produces a fixed uniform rate of rotation. In response, Hossfield does not teach away from using an encoder. Hossfield teaches accurately controlling the motor and it would have been obvious to modify Hossfield by using an encoder to provide precise monitoring.
- 5. Applicant has amended the claims to include the limitation "a rate of rotation is adjustable" and argues that the prior art does not disclose this feature. In response, applicant has not claimed that the rate of rotation is adjusted. See MPE 2106.
- 6. Applicant argues the controller of Rasmussen. In response, the controller of Hossfield is relied upon in combination with the teaching of Rasmussen, farther see MPEP 2106.
- 7. Applicant has amended the claims to include the limitation "a continuously rotatable disk" and argues the prior art does not disclose this feature. In response, the applicant has not recited that the disk is continuously rotating, see MPEP 2106.
- 8. Applicant argues that the light beam of Hossfield is emitted orthogonal to the coin path and not substantially in the same plane. In response, the light beam is emitted such that it traverses the coin path in substantially the same plane as the coin path.

 The plane of the coin path can be considered the plane that contains the light emitters

Application/Control Number: 10/798,669 Page 6

Art Unit: 3653

and detectors and therefore the light emitted traverses the path in the same plane as the coin path.

- 9. Applicant argues that Hossfield teaches away from a diverter and multiple exit paths because Hossfield is directed to a vending machine, pay phone, etc. In response, Hossfield does not teach away from a diverter or plural exit paths. Hossfield teaches a machine for identifying coins and it would be obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify Hossfield by utilizing a diverter and plural exit paths for the purpose of sorting coins, see Paragraph 1 of the previous office action.
- 10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 22-27 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 3653

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MICHAEL C. MCCULLOUGH whose telephone number is (571)272-7805. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:00 am - 3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Mackey can be reached on (571) 272-6916. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Patrick H. Mackey/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3653